

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
:
In re : Chapter 11
:
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
:
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)
:
-----X

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 3007
DISALLOWING AND EXPUNGING CERTAIN (A) DUPLICATE OR AMENDED CLAIMS,
(B) UNTIMELY EQUITY CLAIM, (C) INSUFFICIENTLY DOCUMENTED CLAIMS, (D)
CLAIMS NOT REFLECTED ON DEBTORS' BOOKS AND RECORDS, (E) UNTIMELY
CLAIMS, AND (F) CLAIMS SUBJECT TO MODIFICATION, TAX CLAIM SUBJECT TO
MODIFICATION, AND MODIFIED CLAIMS ASSERTING RECLAMATION IDENTIFIED
IN TWENTY-FIRST OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION

("TWENTY-FIRST OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION ORDER")

Upon the Twenty-First Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And
Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (A) Duplicate Or Amended Claims, (B) Untimely Equity
Claim, (C) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (D) Claims Not Reflected On Debtors' Books
And Records, (E) Untimely Claims, And (F) Claims Subject To Modification, Tax Claim Subject
To Modification, And Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation, dated September 21, 2007 (the
"Twenty-First Omnibus Claims Objection"),¹ of Delphi Corporation ("Delphi") and certain of its
subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases
(collectively, the "Debtors"); and upon the record of the hearing held on the Twenty-First

¹ Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the
Twenty-First Omnibus Claims Objection.

Omnibus Claims Objection; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:²

A. Each holder of a claim, as such term is defined in 11 U.S.C. § 101(5) (as to each, a "Claim"), listed on Exhibits A, B, C-1, C-2, D-1, D-2, D-3, E-1, E-2, F-1, F-2, and F-3 hereto was properly and timely served with a copy of the Twenty-First Omnibus Claims Objection, a personalized Notice Of Objection To Claim, a copy of the Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims (Docket No. 6089) (the "Claims Objection Procedures Order"), the proposed order granting the Twenty-First Omnibus Claims Objection, and notice of the deadline for responding to the Twenty-First Omnibus Claims Objection. No other or further notice of the Twenty-First Omnibus Claims Objection is necessary.

B. This Court has jurisdiction over the Twenty-First Omnibus Claims Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. The Twenty-First Omnibus Claims Objection is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2). Venue of these cases and the Twenty-First Omnibus Claims Objection in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

C. The Claims listed on Exhibit A hereto under the column heading "Claim To Be Expunged" are either duplicates of other Claims filed with this Court or have been amended or superseded by later-filed Claims.

² Findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law and conclusions of law shall be construed as findings of fact when appropriate. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052.

D. The Claim listed on Exhibit B hereto was filed by a holder of Delphi common stock solely on account of its stock holdings and was untimely pursuant to the Bar Date Order (the "Untimely Equity Claim").

E. The Claims listed on Exhibit C-1 contain insufficient documentation to support the Claims asserted (the "Insufficiently Documented Claim").

F. The Claim listed on Exhibit C-2 hereto contains insufficient documentation to support the Claim asserted and was also untimely filed pursuant to the Bar Date Order (the "Untimely Insufficiently Documented Claim").

G. The Claims listed on Exhibit D-1 hereto contain liabilities or dollar amounts that are not reflected on the Debtors' books and records (the "Books And Records Claims").

H. The Claims listed on Exhibit D-2 hereto contain liabilities or dollar amounts that are not reflected on the Debtors' books and records and were also untimely filed pursuant to the Bar Date Order (the "Untimely Books And Records Claims").

I. The Claims listed on Exhibit D-3 hereto, which were filed by taxing authorities, contain liabilities and dollar amounts that are not reflected on the Debtors' books and records and were also untimely filed pursuant to the Bar Date Order (the "Untimely Books And Records Tax Claims").

J. The Claims listed on Exhibit E-1 hereto were untimely filed pursuant to the Bar Date Order (the "Untimely Claims").

K. The Claims listed on Exhibit E-2 hereto, which were filed by taxing authorities, were untimely filed pursuant to the Bar Date Order (the "Untimely Tax Claims").

L. The Claims listed on Exhibit F-1 hereto (a) state the incorrect amount or are overstated, including as a result of the assertion of invalid unliquidated claims, and/or (b) were filed and docketed against the wrong Debtors, and/or (c) incorrectly assert secured or priority status (the "Claims Subject To Modification").

M. The Tax Claim listed on Exhibit F-2 hereto is overstated and/or incorrectly asserts secured or priority status (the "Tax Claim Subject To Modification").

N. The Claims listed on Exhibit F-3 hereto (a) (i) state the incorrect amount or are overstated, including as a result of the assertion of invalid unliquidated claims, and/or (ii) were filed and docketed against the wrong Debtor, and/or (iii) incorrectly assert secured or priority status and (b) assert a reclamation demand and either (i) the Debtors and the Claimant have entered into a letter agreement whereby the Debtors and the Claimant agreed upon the valid amount of the reclamation demand or (ii) the Claimant is deemed to have consented to the Debtors' determination of the valid amount of the reclamation demand (with respect to (b)(i) and (ii), each, a "Reclamation Agreement"), subject to the Debtors' right to seek, at any time and notwithstanding the Claimant's agreement or consent to the amount pursuant to the relevant Reclamation Agreement, a judicial determination that certain reserved defenses with respect to the reclamation demand are valid (the "Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation").

O. The relief requested in the Twenty-First Omnibus Claims Objection and granted herein is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties-in-interest.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED
THAT:

1. Each "Claim To Be Expunged" listed on Exhibit A hereto is hereby disallowed and expunged in its entirety. Those Claims identified on Exhibit A hereto as "Surviving Claims" shall remain on the Debtors' claims register, but shall remain subject to future objection by the Debtors and other parties-in-interest.
2. The Untimely Equity Claim listed on Exhibit B hereto is hereby disallowed and expunged in its entirety.
3. Each Insufficiently Documented Claim listed on Exhibit C-1 hereto is hereby disallowed and expunged in its entirety.
4. The Untimely Insufficiently Documented Claim listed on Exhibit C-2 hereto is hereby disallowed and expunged in its entirety.
5. Each Books And Records Claim listed on Exhibit D-1 hereto is hereby disallowed and expunged in its entirety.
6. Each Untimely Books And Records Claim listed on Exhibit D-2 hereto is hereby disallowed and expunged in its entirety.
7. Each Untimely Books And Records Tax Claim listed on Exhibit D-3 hereto is hereby disallowed and expunged in its entirety.
8. Each Untimely Claim listed on Exhibit E-1 hereto is hereby disallowed and expunged in its entirety.
9. Each Untimely Tax Claim listed on Exhibit E-2 hereto is hereby disallowed and expunged in its entirety.
10. Each "Claim As Docketed" amount, classification, and Debtor listed on Exhibit F-1 hereto is hereby revised to reflect the amount, classification, and Debtor listed as the "Claim As Modified." No Claimant listed on Exhibit F-1 hereto shall be entitled to (a) recover

for any Claim Subject to Modification in an amount exceeding the dollar value listed as the "Modified Total" of the Claim, and/or (b) assert a classification that is inconsistent with that listed in the "Claim As Modified" column, and/or (c) assert a Claim against a Debtor whose case number is not listed in the "Claim As Modified" column on Exhibit F-1 hereto, subject to the Debtors' right to further object to each such Claim Subject to Modification. The Claims Subject to Modification shall remain on the claims register, and shall remain subject to future objection by the Debtors and other parties-in-interest.

11. The "Claim As Docketed" amount and Debtor listed on Exhibit F-2 hereto is hereby revised to reflect the amount and classification listed as the "Claim As Modified." The Claimant listed on Exhibit F-2 hereto shall not be entitled to (a) recover for the Tax Claim Subject to Modification in an amount exceeding the dollar value listed as the "Modified Total" of the Claim and/or (b) assert a classification that is inconsistent with that listed in the "Claim As Modified" column on Exhibit F-2 hereto, and/or (c) assert a Claim against a Debtor whose case number is not listed in the "Claim As Modified" column on Exhibit F-2 hereto, subject to the Debtors' right to further object to the Tax Claim Subject to Modification. The Tax Claim Subject to Modification shall remain on the claims register, and shall remain subject to future objection by the Debtors and other parties-in-interest.

12. Each "Claim As Docketed" amount, classification, and Debtor listed on Exhibit F-3 hereto is hereby revised to reflect the amount, classification, and Debtor listed as the "Claim As Modified." No Claimant listed on Exhibit F-3 shall be entitled to (a) recover for any Modified Claim Asserting Reclamation in an amount exceeding the dollar value listed as the "Modified Total" of the Claim, unless the Debtors obtain an order of this Court providing that any Reserved Defense is valid and denying priority status to such Claimant's reclamation

demand, and/or (b) assert a classification that is inconsistent with that listed in the "Claim As Modified" column on Exhibit F-3 hereto, and/or (c) assert a Claim against a Debtor whose case number is not listed in the "Claim As Modified" column on Exhibit F-3 hereto, subject to the Debtors' right to further object to each such Modified Claim Asserting Reclamation. The Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation shall remain on the claims register, and shall remain subject to future objection by the Debtors and other parties-in-interest.

13. Entry of this order is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to object, on any grounds whatsoever, to any other claims in these chapter 11 cases or to further object to Claims that are the subject of the Twenty-First Omnibus Claims Objection.

14. Nothing contained herein shall constitute, nor shall it be deemed to constitute, the allowance of any Claim asserted against any of the Debtors.

15. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over the Debtors and the holders of Claims subject to the Twenty-First Omnibus Claims Objection to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

16. Each of the objections by the Debtors to each Claim addressed in the Twenty-First Omnibus Claims Objection and attached hereto as Exhibits A, B, C-1, C-2, D-1, D-2, D-3, E-1, E-2, F-1, F-2, and F-3 constitutes a separate contested matter as contemplated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9014. This order shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each Claim that is the subject of the Twenty-First Omnibus Claims Objection. Any stay of this order shall apply only to the contested matter which involves such Claim and shall not act to stay the applicability or finality of this order with respect to the other contested matters covered hereby.

17. Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC is hereby directed to serve this order, including exhibits, in accordance with the Claims Objection Procedures Order.

18. The requirement under Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York for the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Twenty-First Omnibus Claims Objection.

Dated: New York, New York
October __, 2007

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE